

Curl bounds Grad on SO(3)

P. Neff^{*1} and I. Münch²

¹ Technische Universität Darmstadt, FB Mathematik, Schlossgartenstraße 7, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany

² Universität Karlsruhe (TH), Institut für Baustatik, Kaiserstraße 12, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany

Let $F^P \in GL(3)$ be the plastic deformation from the multiplicative decomposition in elasto-plasticity. We show that the geometric dislocation density tensor of Gurtin in the form $\text{Curl}[F^P] \cdot (F^P)^T$ applied to rotations controls the gradient in the sense that pointwise

$$\forall R \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \text{SO}(3)) : \quad \|\text{Curl}[R] \cdot R^T\|_{\mathbb{M}^{3 \times 3}}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \|DR\|_{\mathbb{R}^{27}}^2.$$

This result complements rigidity results (John, Reshetnyak, Friesecke/James/Müller) as well as an associated linearized theorem saying that

$$\forall A \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \text{so}(3)) : \quad \|\text{Curl}[A]\|_{\mathbb{M}^{3 \times 3}}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \|DA\|_{\mathbb{R}^{27}}^2 = \|\nabla \text{axl}[A]\|_{\mathbb{R}^9}^2.$$

© 2006 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

1 Introduction

We show an extension to the Lie-group $\text{SO}(3)$ of proper rotations of the following result for linearized kinematics: the operator $\text{Curl}_\#$ (curl arranged row wise) applied to elements of the Lie-algebra of skew-symmetric matrices $\text{so}(3)$ already controls all partial derivatives of these matrices. While in general, the operator $\text{Curl}_\#$ cannot control the full gradient since $\text{Curl}_\#$ has 9 independent entries but $\text{Grad} = D$ has 27 independent entries, it does so on $\text{so}(3)$, since they have only 3 independent components such that taking Grad gives 9 independent entries making the relation between $\text{Curl}_\#$ and Grad invertible.

Such a result can at least be traced back implicitly to Nye [1], who investigated infinitesimal rotations of the crystal lattice due to dislocation motion. He showed for small plastic deformations and zero elastic strains that

$$-\text{Curl}_\#[\text{skew}[\varepsilon^P]] = (\nabla \text{axl}[\text{skew}[\varepsilon^P]])^T - \text{tr}[(\nabla \text{axl}[\text{skew}[\varepsilon^P]])^T] \mathbb{1}, \quad (1)$$

where $\varepsilon^P \in C^1(\Omega, \mathbb{M}^{3 \times 3})$ is the *non-symmetrical infinitesimal plastic distortion* with $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ the reference configuration. Here, for second order tensors $\text{skew}[X] := \frac{1}{2}(X - X^T)$, $\mathbb{1}$ is the identity tensor, $\|X\|^2 = \sum_i X_i^2$, $\text{tr}[X]$ the trace, the axial vector $\text{axl}[A]$ is defined such that $A \cdot v = \text{axl}[A] \times v$ for all $A \in \text{so}(3)$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\nabla \varphi$ is the Jacobian-matrix. With $A \cdot B$ we denote simple contraction, with $A : B$ double contraction. Abbreviating $A = \text{skew}[\varepsilon^P] \in C^1(\Omega, \text{so}(3))$ one deduces

$$-\text{Curl}_\#[A] = (\nabla \text{axl}[A])^T - \text{tr}[(\nabla \text{axl}[A])^T] \mathbb{1} \Leftrightarrow \nabla \text{axl}[A] = -(\text{Curl}_\#[A])^T + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[(\text{Curl}_\#[A])^T] \mathbb{1}, \quad (2)$$

which implies

$$\forall A \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \text{so}(3)) : \quad \|\text{Curl}_\#[A]\|_{\mathbb{M}^{3 \times 3}}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \|DA\|_{\mathbb{R}^{27}}^2 = \|\nabla \text{axl}[A]\|_{\mathbb{R}^9}^2, \quad (3)$$

in turn implying infinitesimal rigidity (7). Recall also the definition of the curl of displacements $u \in C^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and the relation to the infinitesimal rotations $\text{skew}[\nabla u]$,

$$\text{curl}[u] := \nabla \times u = 2 \text{axl}[\text{skew}[\nabla u]]. \quad (4)$$

The modern theory of finite plasticity is based on the multiplicative decomposition $F = F^e \cdot F^P$ of the deformation gradient $F = \nabla \varphi$ into structural elastic and plastic components. In single crystal plasticity F^P represents the deformation solely resulting from the formation of defects such as dislocations while F^e is due to elastic stretch and elastic rotation of the lattice. In general, F^e and F^P have not the form of a Jacobian matrix, they are incompatible, i.e. $\text{Curl}_\#[F^e], \text{Curl}_\#[F^P] \neq 0$, a property related to the formation of dislocations. The most general stored defect energy, measuring the incompatibility in F^P , which is invariant under a compatible change in the reference configuration is expressible in the *geometrical dislocation density tensor* $G = \frac{1}{\det[F^P]} \text{Curl}_\#[F^P] \cdot (F^P)^T$ which, for $R \in \text{SO}(3)$, reduces to $G = \text{Curl}_\#[R] \cdot R^T$. For the necessary background and

* Corresponding author: e-mail: neff@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de, Phone: +49 6151 16 3495, Fax: +49 6151 16 6027

more references on dislocations, plasticity and microstructures we refer to [5].

Another motivation comes from rigidity results [2, 3] in the spirit of Liouville-type theorems, saying that if the gradient of a deformation is locally a rotation it must be a constant rotation together with a constant translation or more precisely

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n), \quad \nabla\varphi(x) \in \text{SO}(n) \text{ a.e.} \Rightarrow \\ \nabla\varphi = R = \text{const.} \Leftrightarrow \varphi(x) = R \cdot x + b. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

A quantized version of this fact has been given recently in [4]. They show that for bounded $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with Lipschitz boundary and $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ there exists a positive constant $C(\Omega)$ and a constant rotation R such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \|\nabla\varphi - R\|^2 dx \leq C(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} \text{dist}^2(\nabla\varphi, \text{SO}(3)) dx. \quad (6)$$

The respective infinitesimal rigidity result is standard in the treatment of linear elasticity and Korn's inequality. It amounts to

$$\begin{aligned} u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3), \quad \nabla u(x) + \nabla u(x)^T = 0 \Leftrightarrow \nabla u(x) \in \text{so}(3) \Leftrightarrow \\ \nabla u(x) = A = \text{const.} \Leftrightarrow u(x) = A \cdot x + b, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $A \in \text{so}(3)$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are constant. Since from $\text{sym}[\nabla u(x)] = 0$ it follows $\nabla u(x) = A(x) \in \text{so}(3)$ the result (7) would follow by applying Curl_{\sharp} on both sides and using that Curl_{\sharp} bounds DA on $\text{so}(3)$ due to (3).

As a consequence of (5) it is known that for smooth, simply connected domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and $R \in C^1(\Omega, \text{SO}(3))$

$$0 = \text{Curl}_{\sharp}[R(x)] \Leftrightarrow R = \nabla\varphi \in \text{SO}(3) = \text{const.} \Leftrightarrow DR = 0, \quad (8)$$

thus showing that $\text{Curl}_{\sharp}[R] = 0 \Leftrightarrow DR = 0$. Obviously, $\|\text{Curl}_{\sharp}[R]\|_{\mathbb{M}^{3 \times 3}}^2 \leq 2\|DR\|_{\mathbb{R}^{27}}^2$ by Young's inequality for all $R \in \mathbb{M}^{3 \times 3}$. The precise relation between Curl_{\sharp} and $\text{Grad} = D$ on $\text{SO}(2)$ is easily understood in terms of the representation with one rotation angle $\vartheta : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$

$$R(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \vartheta(x, y) & \sin \vartheta(x, y) \\ -\sin \vartheta(x, y) & \cos \vartheta(x, y) \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SO}(2). \quad (9)$$

One checks that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{Curl}_{\sharp}[R(x, y)]\|_{\mathbb{R}^2}^2 &= ((\cos \vartheta)_x - (\sin \vartheta)_y)^2 + ((-\sin \vartheta)_y - (\cos \vartheta)_x)^2 \\ &= \|\nabla\vartheta(x, y)\|_{\mathbb{R}^2}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\|DR\|_{\mathbb{R}^8}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

which led us to surmise that for three-space dimensions

$$\exists c^+ > 0 \quad \forall R \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \text{SO}(3)) : \quad \|\text{Curl}_{\sharp}[R]\|_{\mathbb{M}^{3 \times 3}}^2 \geq c^+ \|DR\|_{\mathbb{R}^{27}}^2. \quad (11)$$

This is true for $c = \frac{1}{2}$. In terms of the *geometrical dislocation density tensor* $G = \text{Curl}_{\sharp}[R] \cdot R^T$ we observe that $\|\text{Curl}_{\sharp}[R]\|_{\mathbb{M}^{3 \times 3}}^2 = \|\text{Curl}_{\sharp}[R] \cdot R^T\|_{\mathbb{M}^{3 \times 3}}^2$ by the invariance of the euclidean norm under $\text{SO}(3)$. The non-trivial implication in (5) is a simple consequence of (11). For the proof we refer to [6].

References

- [1] J. Nye, Some geometrical relations in dislocated crystals, *Acta Metall.* **1**, 153–162 (1953).
- [2] F. John, Rotation and strain, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **14**, 391–413 (1961).
- [3] Y. Reshetnyak, Liouville's theorem on conformal mappings for minimal regularity assumptions, *Siberian Math.* **8**, 631–653 (1967).
- [4] G. Friesecke, R. James, S. Müller, A theorem on geometric rigidity and the derivation of nonlinear plate theory from three-dimensional elasticity, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **55**, 1461–1506 (2002).
- [5] P. Cermelli, M. Gurtin, On the characterization of geometrically necessary dislocations in finite plasticity, *J. Mech. Phys. Solids* **49**, 1539–1568 (2001).
- [6] P. Neff, I. Münch, Curl bounds Grad on $\text{SO}(3)$, *submitted* (2006),
Preprint <http://wwwbib.mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de/Math-Net/Preprints/Listen/pp06.html>