

On isotropy conditions in second gradient materials

Ingo Münch^{1,*} and Patrizio Neff^{2,**}

¹ Institute for Structural Analysis, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Kaiserstr. 12, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany

² Head of Lehrstuhl für Nichtlineare Analysis und Modellierung, Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Duisburg-Essen, Thea-Leymann Str. 9, 45127 Essen, Germany

In gradient elasticity, isotropy and frame-indifference requirements are sensitive to the homogeneity of the applied rotation field $Q \in \text{SO}(3)$. This is in contrast to standard elasticity, where only first gradients of the deformation are under consideration. We use a diffeomorphism to show the effect of inhomogeneous coordinate transformation to the form-invariance requirement of elastic energy. From a classical geometric rigidity result follows that the appearance of a right-local $\text{SO}(3)$ -invariance condition is not the general condition for isotropy. The correct statement for isotropy in second gradient elasticity should be a right-global $\text{SO}(3)$ -invariance condition.

Copyright line will be provided by the publisher

1 Global versus local rotational invariance for isotropy

In hyperelasticity, the difference between form-invariance under compatible transformations of the reference configuration with rigid rotations \bar{Q} (isotropy) and right-invariance under inhomogeneous rotation fields $Q = Q(x) \in \text{SO}(3)$ becomes visible only in higher gradient elasticity. To see this, consider coordinates $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ transformed to $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$ via the diffeomorphism $\zeta : B \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \mapsto \mathbb{R}^3$

$$x = \zeta(\xi), \quad \xi = \zeta^{-1}(x), \quad x = \zeta(\zeta^{-1}(x)), \quad (1)$$

see also [1,2]. Connected to the coordinate transformation (1) we consider the deformation expressed in these new coordinates via setting

$$\varphi^b(\xi) := \varphi(\zeta(\xi)), \quad \varphi^b(\zeta^{-1}(x)) = \varphi(x). \quad (2)$$

Let the elastic energy of the body $B \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ depend on first and second gradients of the deformation $\varphi(x)$. We say that the elastic energy is **form-invariant** with respect to the (referential) coordinate transformation ζ if and only if

$$\int_{x \in B} W(\text{Grad}_x[\varphi(x)], \text{GRAD}_x[\text{Grad}_x[\varphi(x)]]) dx = \int_{\xi \in \zeta^{-1}(B)} W(\text{Grad}_\xi[\varphi^b(\xi)], \text{GRAD}_\xi[\text{Grad}_\xi[\varphi^b(\xi)]]) d\xi. \quad (3)$$

For the first and second derivative with respect to x we obtain from eq.(1)

$$\mathbb{1} = \text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\zeta^{-1}(x))] \text{Grad}_x[\zeta^{-1}(x)] \Leftrightarrow \text{Grad}_x[\zeta^{-1}(x)] = (\text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)])^{-1}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\zeta^{-1}(x))] \text{GRAD}_x[\text{Grad}_x[\zeta^{-1}(x)]] = -\text{GRAD}_\xi[\text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)]] \text{Grad}_x[\zeta^{-1}(x)] \text{Grad}_x[\zeta^{-1}(x)], \quad (5)$$

yielding

$$\text{GRAD}_x[\text{Grad}_x[\zeta^{-1}(x)]] = -(\text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)])^{-1} \text{GRAD}_\xi[\text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)]] (\text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)])^{-1} (\text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)])^{-1} \quad (6)$$

Thus, (3) is **form-invariant** with respect to the (referential) coordinate transformation ζ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\xi \in \zeta^{-1}(B)} W\left(\text{Grad}_\xi[\varphi^b(\xi)] (\text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)])^{-1}, \text{GRAD}_\xi[\text{Grad}_\xi[\varphi^b(\xi)]] (\text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)])^{-1} (\text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)])^{-1}\right. \\ & \left. - \text{Grad}_\xi[\varphi^b(\xi)] (\text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)])^{-1} \text{GRAD}_\xi[\text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)]] (\text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)])^{-1} (\text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)])^{-1}\right) \\ & \quad \det(\text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)]) d\xi \\ & = \int_{\xi \in \zeta^{-1}(B)} W\left(\text{Grad}_\xi[\varphi^b(\xi)], \text{GRAD}_\xi[\text{Grad}_\xi[\varphi^b(\xi)]]\right) d\xi. \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

* Corresponding author: e-mail ingo.muench@kit.edu, phone +49 721 6084 2289, fax +49 721 6084 6015

** e-mail patrizio.neff@uni-due.de

Equality (7) can be specified to

$$\det(\text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)]) = 1, \quad \text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)] \in \mathcal{G} \subseteq \text{SO}(3) \quad \forall \xi \in \zeta^{-1}(B), \quad (8)$$

where \mathcal{G} is the symmetry group of the material. We set $(\text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)])^{-1} = Q(\xi)$ and obtain as first concise form-invariance statement for material symmetry

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\xi \in \zeta^{-1}(B)} W \left(\text{Grad}_\xi[\varphi^b(\xi)] Q(\xi), \text{GRAD}_\xi[\text{Grad}_\xi[\varphi^b(\xi)]] Q(\xi) Q(\xi) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \text{Grad}_\xi[\varphi^b(\xi)] Q(\xi) \text{GRAD}_\xi[Q^T(\xi)] Q(\xi) Q(\xi) \right) 1 \, d\xi \\ & = \int_{\xi \in \zeta^{-1}(B)} W \left(\text{Grad}_\xi[\varphi^b(\xi)], \text{GRAD}_\xi[\text{Grad}_\xi[\varphi^b(\xi)]] \right) d\xi \quad \forall Q(\xi) \in \mathcal{G}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

which we will call **right-local SO(3)-invariance** since the rotations in eq.(9) are allowed to be inhomogeneous. However, requiring that

$$(\text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)])^{-1} = Q^T(\xi) \in \text{SO}(3) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)] = Q(\xi) \in \text{SO}(3) \quad (10)$$

means, by a **classical geometric rigidity** result, see e.g. [3], that

$$\text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)] = Q(\xi) \in \text{SO}(3) \quad \Rightarrow \quad Q(\xi) = \bar{Q} = \text{const.} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(\xi) = \bar{Q} \xi + \bar{b}, \quad (11)$$

and therefore $\text{GRAD}_\xi[\text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)]] = 0$. Assuming furthermore that B is a ball of homogeneous material, we have $\zeta^{-1}(B) = B$, and the correct statement for isotropy, in our view, is then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\xi \in B} W \left(\text{Grad}_\xi[\varphi^b(\xi)] \bar{Q}^T, \text{GRAD}_\xi[\text{Grad}_\xi[\varphi^b(\xi)]] \bar{Q}^T \bar{Q}^T \right) d\xi \\ & = \int_{\xi \in B} W \left(\text{Grad}_\xi[\varphi^b(\xi)], \text{GRAD}_\xi[\text{Grad}_\xi[\varphi^b(\xi)]] \right) d\xi \quad \forall \bar{Q} \in \text{SO}(3). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We denominate the latter condition as **right-global SO(3)-invariance**, which, for us, is **isotropy**. We appreciate that the right-local SO(3)-invariance condition (9) is much to restrictive in that arbitrary, inhomogeneous rotation fields are allowed instead of only constant rotations \bar{Q} . The reader should carefully note that we started by using a coordinate transformation $x = \zeta(\xi)$ and therefore we require in the end that $\zeta(\xi) = \bar{Q} \xi + \bar{b}$. There is no other coordinate transformation ζ such that $\text{Grad}_\xi[\zeta(\xi)] = Q(\xi) \in \text{SO}(3)$ everywhere, provided a minimum level of smoothness is assumed.

In the local theory the above discussion cannot distinguish between constant or non-constant rotations, since the gradient of the rotation $Q(\xi)$ is not involved. The latter might explain why one may be inclined to allow non-constant rotation fields in (9), which is forbidden for higher gradient materials [4].

References

- [1] P. Neff: Remarks on invariant modelling in finite strain gradient plasticity. *Technische Mechanik* **28**(1), 13-21, (2008)
- [2] B. Svendsen, P. Neff, A. Menzel: On constitutive and configurational aspects of models for gradient continua with microstructure. *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.* **89**(8), 687-697, (2009)
- [3] P. Neff, I. Münch: Curl bounds Grad on SO(3). *ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations* **1**(14), 148-159 (2008)
- [4] I. Münch, P. Neff: Rotational invariance conditions in elasticity, gradient elasticity and its connection to isotropy. submitted, arXiv:1603.06153